Leptogenesis and

Future Experiments

Boris Kayser v – Astro Panel June 2, 2006

The Puzzle

Why does the universe contain **MATTER**, but almost no antimatter?

Cosmology: In the earliest moments, there were equal amounts of the two.

The **MATTER** – antimatter asymmetry developed later.

This development required CP violation (a difference between the behavior of **MATTER** and that of antimatter).

The CP Violation (CP) That Doesn't Work

The behavior of **QUARKS** differs from that of **ANTIQUARKS**.

The observed difference is beautifully described as coming from Standard-Model quark mixing.



In the **hot** early universe, where quark masses are negligible, quark mixing becomes meaningless. *No CP*.

The QP That Might Well Work

The most popular theory of why neutrinos are so light is the -



The heavy neutrinos N would have been made in the hot Big Bang.

The heavy neutrinos N, like the light ones v, are Majorana particles. Thus, an N can decay into ℓ^- or ℓ^+ .

If neutrino oscillation violates CP, then quite likely so does N decay.

Then, in the early universe, we would have had different rates for the CP-mirror-image decays –

$$N \rightarrow \ell^- + \dots$$
 and $N \rightarrow \ell^+ + \dots$

This would have led to unequal numbers of leptons and antileptons (Leptogenesis).

Perhaps this was the original source of the present preponderance of Matter over Antimatter in the universe.

Leptogenesis and the Masses of the Light Neutrino Mass Eigenstates v_i

The hypothesis that the matter-antimatter asymmetry of the universe is due to Leptogenesis suggests that —

Mass[Each v_i] < 0.13 eV.

(Buchmüller, Di Bari, Plümacher)

{Assumes hierarchical (non-degenerate) N_i}

From oscillation and cosmological data —

 $0.04 \text{ eV} \leq \text{Mass}[\text{Heaviest } v_i] < (0.1 - 0.4) \text{ eV}.$ Coincidence?? How to Lend Credence to the Hypothesis of Leptogenesis

1. Confirm that the light neutrinos mass eigenstates v_i are Majorana particles ($\overline{v_i} = v_i$).

Equivalently, show that the Lepton Number L defined by— $L(v) = L(\ell^{-}) = -L(v) = -L(\ell^{+}) = 1$ is not conserved.

Then nothing distinguishes $\overline{\mathbf{v}}_i$ from \mathbf{v}_i .

To Demonstrate That $\overline{v_i} = v_i$: Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay [$0v\beta\beta$]



Observation would imply \mathcal{L} and $\overline{\mathbf{v}}_i = \mathbf{v}_i$.

2. Confirm that neutrino oscillation violates CP.

To Search for \mathcal{QP} In Oscillation Look for $P(\overline{v}_{\alpha} \rightarrow \overline{v}_{\beta}) \neq P(v_{\alpha} \rightarrow v_{\beta})$

" $\overline{\nu}_{\alpha} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{\beta}$ " is a different process from $\nu_{\alpha} \rightarrow \nu_{\beta}$ even when $\overline{\nu}_{i} = \nu_{i}$



A Challenge

Genuine \mathscr{P} and a matter effect both induce a difference between v and " \overline{v} " oscillation.

These two effects will have to be disentangled.

Genuine \mathcal{QP} and the matter effect depend quite differently from each other on L (distance) and E (energy).

To disentangle them, one must make oscillation measurements at different L and/or E.