Cosmological Beginnings & Endings

Michael S. Turner Kavli Institute for Cosmological Physics The University of Chicago

"The New Cosmology" (aka Concordance Model)

- Flat, accelerating Universe
- Composition
- 4% baryons (0.5% stars!)
 26% cold dark matter (~0.1% neutrinos)
 - 70% "dark energy"
 - < 4% secret ingredients & sauces
- Inflation-produced, nearly scale-invariant density perturbations ... Consistent with large & growing body of observations





Strong Foundation

Interlocking web of cosmological and lab measurements: from CMB observations to data from particle accelerators

Sound physics foundation

- General relativity, atomic & nuclear physics
- Standard model of particle physics
- Well motivated and well formulated speculations based upon
 - Gauge theory and grand unification
 - Supersymmetry
 - Superstring theory

Because of the series of the s

AHELEAN ASIGCIATION FOR HILADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

The Hadron Wall



S. Weinberg in Gravitation & Cosmology

11 The Very Early Universe

The thermal history of the universe was traced in Section 15.6 back to an era when the temperature was about 10^{12} °K. At this early time, the universe was filled with particles—photons, leptons, and antileptons—whose interactions are hopefully weak enough to allow this medium to be treated as a more or less ideal gas. However, if we look back a little further, into the first 0.0001 sec of cosmic history when the temperature was above 10^{12} °K, we encounter theoretical problems of a difficulty beyond the range of modern statistical mechanics. At such temperatures, there will be present in thermal equilibrium copious numbers of strongly interacting particles—mesons, baryons, and antibaryons—with a mean interparticle distance less than a typical Compton wavelength. These particles will be in a state of continual mutual interaction, and cannot reasonably be expected to obey any simple equation of state.

However, the temptation to try to construct some sort of model of the very early universe is irresistible. There are in fact two extremely different simple models that have been widely considered in recent years, and that reflect two divergent views of the nature of the strongly interacting particles. Although neither model can be taken seriously in detail, the hope is that one or the other of these models may come close enough to reality to lead to useful insights about the very early universe.

The first of these two pictures may be called the *elementary particle model*. It is supposed that all particles are made up of a small number of elementary

The Fall of the Hadron Wall The 1970s: Gauge Theory, Asymptotic Freedom and GUTs



Precision Cosmology!

with no apologies!

Some Cosmological Parameters

error bars decreasing, consistency holding

- T = 2.725 ± 0.001 K
- $H_0 = 70 \pm 7 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$
- $\Omega_0 = 1.01 + 0.009_{-0.016}$
 - $-\Omega_{\rm M}=0.27\pm0.04$
 - $\Omega_{\rm DE} = 0.65 \pm 0.05$
 - $\Omega_{\rm B} = (0.022 \pm 0.001)/h^2$
 - $-0.001 < \Omega_v h^2 < 0.01$
- $t_0 = 13.7 + 0.13_{-0.17}$ Gyr
- $n = 0.961 \pm 0.017$
- $T = 0.093 \pm 0.03$
- $w = -1 \pm 0.1$

COBE FIRAS HST, SZ, CMB, ... WMAP, H_{0} WMAP, SDSS, SNe, Clusters WMAP, SDSS, SNe WMAP, BBN WMAP, SDSS, SuperK, K2K, ... WMAP, GC, WDs, H_0 WMAP, SDSS WMAP WMAP, SDSS, SNe

Hubble Constant has been constant for 5+ years! HST Key Project: $H_0 = 72 \pm 7$ km/s/Mpc WMAP: $H_0 = 73^{+3}_{-4}$ km/s/Mpc SZ: $H_0 = 74 \pm 4 \pm 10$ km/s/Mpc



Fig. 3.— Angular diameter distances of the 38 clusters (open circles). The error bars are the total statistical uncertainties, obtained by combining the X-ray and SZE data modelling uncertainties (Table 2) and the additional sources of random error described in Section 3.3 and Table 3. The systematic errors of Table 3 are not shown. Dashed line is the angular diameter curve using the best-fit Hubble constant $H_0=76.9$ km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹ and $\Omega_M = 0.3$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$. The open squares are from the low redshift sample of Mason et al. (2001), and they are not included in the fit.



The CDM Power Spectrum



Baryon Density

• CMB: $\Omega_{\rm B}h^2 = 0.022 \pm 0.001$

• D/H and BBN: $\Omega_B h^2 = 0.021 \pm 0.002$

started with "Alpha, Beta, Gamma"

• Mean absorption of IGM: $\Omega_{\rm B} \sim 0.04$





... much more than quark soup beginning



Deep, Profound **Connections**

 Existence of Atoms Dark Matter Cosmic Acceleration Seeds for Structure Chemical Elements Longlived Stars & Life > Hierarchy of Weak/Planck Multiverse

Baryogenesis/Leptogenesis Axions, Neutrinos, Neutralinos ➢ Dark Energy, New Grav Phys. ►Inflation ➢Neutrinos ➤ Superstrings

Linking Inner Space and Outer **Space has Profoundly Changed Both Cosmology and Elementary Particle Physics** Linking Their Agendas for the **Foreseeable Future**

The Big Cosmic Questions

- What is the cold dark matter?
- How much of the dark matter is neutrinos?
- How did atoms originate?



WHAT POWERED THE BIG BANG? Inflation is a modest start

WHAT IS THE DARK ENERGY THAT CONTROLS OUR COSMIC DESTINY?

Validity of general relativity, variation of the constants, ... "precision heavenly lab"

COSMIC BEGINNINGS & ENDINGS

The Middle is in Pretty Good Shape





<u>*NOTE:</u> "Modest Promise"

All models based upon scalar field dynamics

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + V' = 0$$



Density Perturbations

$$\begin{split} P(k) &= \frac{1024\pi^3}{75} \frac{k}{H_0^4} \frac{V_*^3}{m_{\rm Pl}{}^6 V_*{}^{\prime 2}} \left(\frac{k}{k_*}\right)^{n-1} T^2(k) \\ n-1 &= -\frac{1}{8\pi} \left(\frac{m_{\rm Pl} V_*'}{V_*}\right)^2 + \frac{m_{\rm Pl}}{4\pi} \left(\frac{m_{\rm Pl} V_*'}{V_*}\right)' \\ \frac{dn}{d\ln k} &= -\frac{1}{32\pi^2} \left(\frac{m_{\rm Pl}{}^3 V_*''}{V_*}\right) \left(\frac{m_{\rm Pl} V_*'}{V_*}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \left(\frac{m_{\rm Pl}{}^2 V_*''}{V_*}\right) \left(\frac{m_{\rm Pl} V_*'}{V_*}\right)^2 - \frac{3}{32\pi^2} \left(m_{\rm Pl} \frac{V_*'}{V_*}\right)^4 \\ T(q) &= \frac{\ln\left(1+2.34q\right)/2.34q}{\left[1+3.89q+(16.1q)^2+(5.46q)^3+(6.71q)^4\right]^{1/4}}, \end{split}$$

Gravitational Waves

$$P_{T}(k) \equiv \langle |h_{k}|^{2} \rangle = \frac{8}{3\pi} \frac{V_{*}}{m_{\mathrm{Pl}}^{4}} \left(\frac{k}{k_{*}}\right)^{n_{T}-3} T_{T}^{2}(k)$$

$$n_{T} = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \left(\frac{m_{\mathrm{Pl}}V_{*}'}{V_{*}}\right)^{2}$$

$$\frac{dn_{T}}{d\ln k} = \frac{1}{32\pi^{2}} \left(\frac{m_{\mathrm{Pl}}^{2}V''}{V}\right) \left(\frac{m_{\mathrm{Pl}}V'}{V}\right)^{2} - \frac{1}{32\pi^{2}} \left(\frac{m_{\mathrm{Pl}}V'}{V}\right)^{4} = -n_{T}[(n-1) - n_{T}]$$

$$T_{T}(k) \simeq \left[1 + \frac{4}{3}\frac{k}{k_{\mathrm{EQ}}} + \frac{5}{2}\left(\frac{k}{k_{\mathrm{EQ}}}\right)^{2}\right]^{1/2},$$

Signatures of Inflation

• "Flat," smooth Universe

 With tiny lumpiness arising from Quantum Fluctuations



 Hot quark soup from decay of vacuum energy



CMB is Key to Testing Cosmic Inflation

- Uncurved (flat) Universe
 - "Spot size"
- Lumpiness from "Quantum Fluctuations"
 - Acoustic peaks, relative heights
- Evidence for inflation produced gravitational waves
 – Polarization (and Anisotropy)



First Evidence for Flat Universe







"All the N That's Fit o	iews o Print"	he Ner	w York	Times
VOL. CL N	0. 51,739 Carrier Carrier	le New York Times	MONDAY, APRIL 30, 2001	
<section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header>	Scientists Hee They Say Igns Construction of the state of	<section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header>	A constraints of the constraints	 and the Universe be the universe of the universe of

ments of a faint, pervision SUREMENT	e radalion throughout spa	or bolliner a theory of the un	werses origin
our time wellations	stretches of wave of sou	tions in the temperature of w sky carry the imprint of a and like one resonating from	ride
	an organ pi	pa. The main tone is a tail	
· · · ·	have smalle	r peaks. This "ringing" is	1.00
	precord b	y the theory of inflation.	3000
			1000
			S
4400+5-000005-06-04	AND ALCONG	and the second	
		- Comments	
N THEORY		I English a	
BIC BANG	10000	S SARS	
Sen and	ALC: NO.13	10 A.	ALC: NOT THE OWNER OF THE
TOTAL OF STREET	Barriel .	1.000	These second
	10000	100.00	100
nov	Bang creating a hor.	Several hundred thousand years later, light waves de-	The light way

DASI 2001



WMAP all sky maps large angular scales 2003, 2006

You Live in a Flat Universe!



MAP990389

WMAP Sea Change



BEFORE WMAP

AFTER WMAP



ACBAR on Viper 2.1 m Telescope UC Berkeley/Case Western

Probe small angular scales Complementary to WMAP







ACBAR & WMAP Complementary: Different Technology & Different Angular Scales





Degree Angular Scale Interferometer (DASI) Chicago/Caltech

STREET, STREET,





DASI Discovers CMB Polarization





Events directory 2003

Key Test of Hot Big Bang
 Open Door for the Big Test of Inflation



The Big Prize "B-Mode" Polarization



Third Critical Test of Inflation (Gravitational Waves)

Pin Down Energy Scale/Time of Inflation $V = 3.5 \times 10^{16} \text{ GeV} (r=T/S)^{1/4} \text{ or } \text{H}^{-1} = 2 \times 10^{-38} \text{ sec}/(r=T/S)^{1/2}$ Reveal Cause of Inflation







BICEP* Polarization Experiment

Caltech/JPL, UCSD, UC Berkeley, IAS (Paris), CEA (Grenoble), Cardiff



Optimized for angular scales greater > 1 degree



*Background Imaging of Cosmic Extragalactic Polarization



9 hours BICEP vs. 3 years WMAP Which is which?





10 m South Pole Telescope (SPT)

Chicago/UC Berkeley/UIUC/Case Western/SAO





Nov 06/Jan 07 Deployment

Planck 2008



$r = 10^{-2}$?

CMB Polarization Satellite?



 $r = 10^{-3}?$

CMBPOL

Serious testing of Inflation has <u>begun</u>

Key Predictions

- 1. Flat Universe
- 2. <u>Almost</u> scale-invariant, Gaussian perturbations: (n-1) ~ \pm 0.1 and dn/dlnk ~ \pm 0.001
- 3. Gravity waves: spectrum, amplitude not predicted

Key Results (WMAP)

- 1. $\Omega_0 = 1.0 \pm 0.01$
- 2. $n = 0.96 \pm 0.017^*$; $dn/dlnk = -0.1 \pm 0.05$; no evidence for nonGaussianity
- 3. r < 0.55 (95% cl)*

*Depends significantly upon the priors assumed





Density fluctuations



HOW MUCH TRUTH DOES INFLATION HAVE?



Inflation is Modest – More Like Duct Tape

BEFORE THE BIG BANG

Three Ideas – All Probably Wrong

BEFORE THE BIG BANG • N

NSTEINS BIGBA

THE BIG BANG CREATION OF SPACE, TIME, MATTER & ENERGY



Some Features of String Theory

•Extra "dimensions" (big & small) •Multiple vacua (andscape, etc) •Variable constants (moduli field) Unification of particles and forces •Strings

Some Cosmic Aspirations of String Theory

•Fundamental beory of inflation Explanation of cosmic acceleration Emergent space and time •Variable constants (moduli field) Foundation for multiverse

· NO BEFORE THE BIG BANG

THE BIG BANG CREATION OF SPACE, TIME, MATTER & ENERGY

String

Theory's



THE MULTIVERSE



DARK ENERGY MAY BE THE MOST PROFOUND PROBLEM IN ALL OF SOENCE TEDAY



In the Presence of Dark Energy, a Flat Universe Can Expand Forever, Re-collapse, or Even Experience a Big Rip!

SIZE



BIG BANG TIME

Cannot Understand Our Cosmic Destiny Until We Understand What Dark Energy Is!

Cosmic Acceleration Dark Energy

- Evidence for cosmic acceleration has gotten stronger (HST, CFHTLS, Essence, WMAP, XMM/Chandra...)
- Still no understanding "theorists continue to explore phase space"
- No evidence that dark energy is not the energy of the quantum vacuum
- Very significant probes on the horizon

GR ALLOWS FOR REPUBLIVE GPAN ITY: SOURCE OF GRAVITY IN G-R: FORTUNE NOTA BUG ! (SPHONCK SYMMETRY) BLACK HOLES WHEN 1 ≥ P/3 REPULSIVE GRAVITY WHEN PL-P/3

The Gravity of Nothing Is Repulsive

... But How Much Does Nothing Weigh?

Apparently, Way Too Much or Possibly Nothing



Dark Energy Theory

SOLVINGTHE COSMIC ACCELERATION RIDDLE WILL REQUIRE A CRAZY, NEW IDEA! NB: NOT EVERY CAAZY IDEA IS A SOLUTION TO A PROFOND PROBLEM I



Everyone Wants to Play!







Summary of Dark Theory

- No compelling model (to say the least)
- Current Menu
 - Quantum Vacuum Energy
 - + it exists (!)
 - 55 orders-of-magnitude discrepancy (or more!)
 - Quintessence
 - + temporary, related to cosmic inflation, great variety of models
 - doesn't solve vacuum energy, coupling to the world
 - Modified Gravity
 - + Einstein didn't get last word, superstring inspired, no dark energy
 - No workable/compelling model
 - "Conventional solution" (Riotto et al)
 - Doesn't work?, no fun!

Describing Dark Energy

- all about dubya
- Defining feature of dark energy: negative pressure, smooth distribution
- w, not perfect, but nothing is better, connects to the physics

w = pressure/energy density

$$\rho_{DE} \sim (1 + z)^{3(1+w)}$$

- 1. Not necessarily constant
- 2. Vacuum energy = -1
- 3. Quintessence -1 to 1
- 4. Ghostly quintessence < -1
- 5. Modified gravity, w can be imaginary



Probing Dark Energy

- Primary effect is on the expansion and it controls :
 - <u>cosmic distances</u>
 - evolution of cosmic structure
- Powerful Probes:
 - Supernovae, baryon acoustic oscillations
 - Clusters, large-scale structure
- Where we are: w = 1 ± "0.1"; no evidence for variation
- Where we could be by the end of next decade:
 - $w = xx \pm 0.03$ and $dw/dz = yy \pm 0.1$
 - Multiple complementary techniques
 - Evidence for supersymmetry (from LHC)



FIG. 3.— As Figure 2, but plotting the correlation function times s^2 . This shows the variation of the peak at $20h^{-1}$ Mpc scales that is controlled by the redshift of equality (and hence by $\Omega_m h^2$). Varying $\Omega_m h^2$ alters the amount of large-to-small scale correlation, but boosting the large-scale correlations too much causes an inconsistency at $30h^{-1}$ Mpc. The pure CDM model (magenta) is actually close to the best-fit due to the data points on intermediate scales.

Baryon Acoustic Oscillations as a Standard Ruler



FIG. 10.— a) As Figure 7, but overplotted with model predictions from constant w flat models. For a given value of $\Omega_m h^2$ and w, the angular scale of the CMB acoustic peaks (known to 1%) determines Ω_m and H_0 . Of course, the required Ω_m is a function of w and $\Omega_m h^2$. The solid red lines show lines of constant w; the dashed lines show lines of constant Ω_m . Our knowledge of $\Omega_m h^2$ still limits our inference of w. b) As (a), but the dashed lines are now lines of constant H_0 .

Eisenstein et al, 2005







3 Critical Probes of Dark Energy









